



## ORDER APPROVING JOINT STIPULATION

In light of the Joint Stipulation regarding Defendant Pfizer Inc.'s ("Pfizer") Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Cases for Failure to Comply with Discovery Obligations, Dkt. No. 1286, ("Stipulation"), and for good cause shown, it is hereby ORDERED, with respect to the Plaintiffs in Karmen Ambarchian, et al. v Pfizer Inc., et al., case number 2:15-cv-1911-RMG, Mariam Ayrapetyan, et al. v. Pfizer Inc., et al. case number 2:15-cv-1913-RMG, and Larisa Galstian, et al. v. Pfizer Inc., et al., case number 2:15-cv-1912-RMG ("Plaintiffs"), that:

- 1. The time for Plaintiffs listed on Exhibit A (Dkt. No. 1286-1) to respond to any notices of deficiencies relating to PFSs previously served is extended to December 28, 2015;
- 2. With respect to the Plaintiffs listed on Exhibit A (Dkt. No. 1286-1), Pfizer's Motion to Dismiss was previously withdrawn without prejudice to Pfizer's rights to identify deficiencies or omissions in the PFSs or disclosures provided by the Plaintiffs and Pfizer shall continue to retain the right to make an appropriate motion if such deficiencies or omissions are not timely cured;

2:14-mn-02502-RMG Date Filed 12/17/15 Entry Number 1287 Page 2 of 2

3. The time for Plaintiffs listed on Exhibit B (Dkt. No. 1286-2) to serve PFSs (Plaintiffs

who have not yet served initial PFSs) is extended to January 28, 2016;

4. With respect to the Plaintiffs listed on Exhibit B (Dkt. No. 1286-2) who fail to provide

complete and compliant PFSs and other mandatory disclosures by January 28, 2016, and

who do not voluntarily dismiss their actions by January 28, 2016, Pfizer's Motion to

Dismiss will proceed as to those plaintiffs as of January 29, 2016, and Exhibit B

Plaintiffs' time to respond to Pfizer's Motion to Dismiss is extended to February 4, 2016;

5. The Plaintiffs have previously agreed not to seek or move for remand of their actions to

California state court and will remain coordinated in MDL No. 2:14-mn-02502-RMG;

and

6. To the extent any of the Plaintiffs seek a voluntary dismissal of their claims, he or she

will agree to all the terms of dismissal required by the Court in CMO 12, including an

agreement that any re-filing of the action will be in federal court.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.

Richard Mark-Gergel

United States District Court Judge

December 17, 2015 Charleston, South Carolina

2